





# Best Tools







# Information Retrieval Scale

Precision Recall



## Searching

- Use the language of the documents you are searching
- Explore any advanced search options
- Remember most legal documents are based on their print originals.

### Documents

### Parts:

### IRON ARROW HONOR SOC. v. HECKLER Cite as 702 F.2d 540 (1983)

That same Conference may perform the identical function for the intrastate carriage of carpeting from Rome to Macon, Georgia, approximately the same distance. This has been done for three decades prior to the filing of this action in 1976. It is patently unfair at this late date to declare that these defendants violated the antitrust act when following identical procedures that are not violative of the antitrust act when done in interstate commerce.



IRON ARROW HONOR SOCIETY, a "tap" or recognition association for men, et al., Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

Margaret M. HECKLER, Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, et al., Defendants-Appellees.

No. 80-5663.

United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit.\* Unit B

April 11, 1983.

All-male university honorary-recognition society brought action seeking to enjoin Secretary of Department of Health and Human Services from terminating federal funding to university for giving "substantial assistance" to society. On remand, after previous appeal, 597 F.2d 590, the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, Eugene P. Spellman, J., 499 F.Supp. 496, entered judgment from which society appealed. The Court of Appeals, 652 F.2d 445, affirmed. On writ of certiorari, the Supreme Court, 102 S.Ct. 3475, vacated and remanded. On remand. the Court of Appeals, Tuttle, Senior Circuit Judge, held that: (1) action continued to

present live controversy after university's board of trustees adopted policy by which society would no longer be permitted to resume discriminatory practices on campus, even though Department then considered issue moot, and (2) where discriminatory practices of society, by their nature and in light of intertwined histories of society and university, infected entire academic mission of university, thus rendering each and every federal program at university necessarily discriminatory as result of society's relationship to university, federal regulation under which university was threatened with termination of federal funds for giving substantial assistance to society was valid both on its face and as applied.

Affirmed.

Roney, Circuit Judge, dissented and filed an opinion.

#### 1. Federal Courts €=13

In determining whether action brought by all-male university honorary-recognition society to enjoin Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services from terminating federal funding to university still presented justiciable Article III case or controversy after university's board of trustees adopted policy by which society would no longer be permitted to resume discriminatory practices on campus, Court of Appeals had to assess whether it could effectively render relief requested by society. U.S.C.A. Const. Art. 3, § 2, cl. 1.

#### 2. Federal Courts €=13

Action brought by all-male university honorary-recognition society seeking to enjoin Department of Health and Human Services from terminating federal funding to university under Title IX in order to deter university from allowing society to conduct certain functions on university campus continued to present live controversy after university's board of trustees adopted policy by which society would no longer be permitted to resume discriminatory practices on campus, even though De-

Law 96-452-October 14, 1980.

<sup>\*</sup> Former Fifth Circuit case, section 9(1) of Public

§ 44

FLORIDA JURISPRUDENCE 2D

negligence;

- (2) that he or she has a significantly increased risk of contracting a serious latent disease as a proximate result of the exposure;
- (3) that a monitoring procedure exists that makes the early detection of the disease possible;
- (4) that the prescribed monitoring regime is different from that normally recommended in the absence of the exposure; and
- (5) that the prescribed monitoring regime is reasonably necessary according to contemporary scientific principles.
- ♦ Observation: The "injury" in a medical monitoring class action case is defined as the quantifiable costs of periodic medical examinations necessary to detect the onset of physical harm, and it is preferable that plaintiffs recover these costs through a court supervised and administered trust fund instead of through a lump sum damage award because a trust fund compensates the plaintiff only for the monitoring costs actually incurred, limiting the defendants' liability.³

#### § 45 Aggravation of preexisting condition

#### **Research References**

West's Key Number Digest, Damages €33

Am. Jur. Pleading and Practice Forms, Damages §§ 148, 149 (Elements of damage that may be considered—Aggravation of preexisting physical condition), 181 to 187 (Instructions to jury—Aggravation of injuries or preexisting condition)

In a personal injury action, the injured person is entitled to recover full compensation for all damage proximately resulting from the defendant's act, even though the injured person is, at the time of receiving the injuries, suffering from some disease or illness that tends to aggravate the injuries.¹ Where the injuries received aggravate an existing ailment or develop a latent one, the defendant is required to respond in damages for the results of the disease as well as for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Wyeth, Inc. v. Gottlieb, 930 [Section 45]
So. 2d 635 (Fla. Dist. Ct. App. 3d
Dist. 2006).

<sup>1</sup>Atlantic Coast Line R. Co. v.

## Documents

90% 5 of 2240

Legal Periodicals & Books

WilsonLink

Save to My WilsonWeb

Title: Climate change: government, private property, and individual action

Personal Author: Babie, Paul

Journal Name: Sustainable Development Law & Policy

Source: Sustainable Development Law & Policy v. 11 no. 2 (Winter 2011) p. 19-21, 77-8

**Publication Year: 2011** 

Subject(s): Global warming; Emissions trading; Property; Commodification; Liberalism; Administrative law; Framework Convention

on Climate Change/Kyoto Protocol

Peer Reviewed Journal:

Physical Description: Bibliographic footnotes

ISSN: 1552-3721

Language of Document: English

Document Type: Feature Article

Database: Legal

Accession Number: 201134911725005

Persistent URL: Click to copy the article citation link